



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/561,610	02/01/2007	Hiroaki Nagano	SON-3026/SOH	6525
23353 7590 05/27/2008 RADER FISHMAN & GRAUER PLLC LION BUILDING 1233 20TH STREET N.W., SUITE 501 WASHINGTON, DC 20036			EXAMINER HSIEH, PING Y	
			ART UNIT 2618	PAPER NUMBER
			MAIL DATE 05/27/2008	DELIVERY MODE PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/561,610	Applicant(s) NAGANO ET AL.	
	Examiner PING Y. HSIEH	Art Unit 2618	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 March 2008.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-14 and 16-18 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-3, 5-14 and 16-18 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☒ Claim(s) 4 is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☒ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 20 December 2005 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1-14 and 16-18 are pending.

Claim 15 is cancelled.

Specification

1. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because the abstract should be in a single paragraph of 150 words or less and not exceed 15 lines of text. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).
2. The disclosure is objected to because it contains an embedded hyperlink and/or other form of browser-executable code. Applicant is required to delete the embedded hyperlink and/or other form of browser-executable code. See MPEP § 608.01.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham v. John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

5. Claims 1 – 3, 7 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Applicant's admitted prior art (Fig. 1) in view of Yrjölä et al. (U.S. PATENT NO. 5,521,561).

-Regarding claim 1, applicant's admitted prior art (Fig. 1) discloses a wireless signal switching circuit for switching a plurality of transmitter and receiver signals having different frequencies in wireless communication for communication by at least a first communication system and a second communication system **(as disclosed in Fig. 1)**, comprising: an antenna terminal **(antenna terminal 101, Fig. 1)** connected to an antenna **(ANT, Fig. 1)**; a first signal route switching means having a plurality of switch means **(switches 104 and 105, Fig. 1)** for selecting a plurality of transmitter and receiver signals having different frequencies in the first communication system, the different frequencies including at least a second frequency and a third frequency **(the switch 104 separates the transmitter signal F2 or F3TX of the frequency F2 or F3, and the receiver signal F2RX of the frequency F2 and the receiver signal F3RX of the frequency F3, Fig. 1)**; and a second signal route switching means **(diplexer 102 and switch 103, Fig. 1)** having a diplexer **(diplexer 102, Fig. 1)** for separating the transmitter and receiver signals having a first frequency of the second communication system lower than the first and second frequencies of the first communication system and the transmitter and receiver signals of the first communication system **(switch 103 separates a transmitter signal F1TX and a**

receiver signal F1RX of the frequency F1, Fig. 1), a first filter side terminal of the diplexer being supplied with transmitter and receiver signals having a first frequency of the second communication system, and a second filter side terminal of the diplexer being supplied with transmitter and receiver signals of the second communication system **(diplexer 102 divides the frequency domain into a frequency F1 having a low frequency and frequencies F2 and F3 having a high frequency as disclosed in Fig. 1)**. However, applicant's admitted prior art fails to disclose a phase rotating means having one end connected to the antenna terminal and imparting a phase rotation of 90 degrees to the phase of the signal of the frequency component supplied to the first signal route switching means; and a common input and output terminal of the diplexer being connected to the other end of the phase rotating means, and an inductor being connected between the one end connected to the antenna terminal and the other end connected to the diplexer in the second routing means.

Yrjölä et al. discloses a phase rotating means **(phase shift PS as disclosed in Fig. 6)** and an inductor being connected between the one end connected to the antenna terminal **(inductor 14, fig. 6)**.

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skills in the art at the time of invention to connect one end of the phase shift to the antenna terminal and imparting a phase rotation of 90 degrees to the phase of the signal of the frequency component supplied to the first signal route switching means; and connect the other end of the phase shift to a common input and output

terminal of the diplexer. One is motivated as such in order to provide coupling between the resonators of a stop band filter implemented with transmission line resonator for filtering the harmonics generated by the transmitter.

-Regarding claim 2, the combination further discloses the phase rotating means has a characteristic of attenuating harmonic components of signals transmitted by the second communication system **(Yrjölä et al., col. 6 lines 18-28)**.

-Regarding claim 3, the combination further discloses the first filter side of the diplexer is a low frequency filter side, and the second filter side of the diplexer is a high frequency filter side **(applicant's admitted prior art (Fig. 1), diplexer 102 divides the frequency domain into a frequency F1 having a low frequency and frequencies F2 and F3 having a high frequency)**.

-Regarding claim 7, the combination further discloses the second signal route switching means **(diplexer 102 and switch 103 as disclosed in applicant's admitted prior art, Fig. 1)** has a switch means **(switch 103 as disclosed in applicant's admitted prior art, Fig. 1)** connected to the first filter side terminal of the diplexer **(diplexer 102 divides the frequency domain into a frequency F1 having a low frequency and frequencies F2 and F3 having a high frequency as disclosed in applicant's admitted prior art, Fig. 1)** and selecting a transmitter signal having a further different frequency of the first communication system and a switch means for selecting a receiver signal having the first frequency of the second communication system **(switch 103 separates**

a transmitter signal F1TX and a receiver signal F1RX of the frequency F1, Fig. 1).

-Regarding claim 8, the combination further discloses a wireless transmitter and receiver antenna **(ANT as disclosed in applicant's admitted prior art, Fig. 1)** and a wireless signal switching circuit **(switches 103, 104 and 105 as disclosed in applicant's admitted prior art, Fig. 1).**

6. Claims 5, 6, 9 - 14, 16 - 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Applicant's admitted prior art (Fig. 1) in view of Yrjölä et al. (U.S. PATENT NO. 5,521,561) and further in view of Atkinson et al. (U.S. PATENT NO. 7,058,364).

-Regarding claims 5, 9, 16 - 18, the combination of applicant's admitted prior art and Yrjölä et al. discloses all the limitation as claimed in claims 1-3 and 8. However, the combination fails to disclose the first communication system is a triple band GSM system, and the second communication system is a UMTS system.

Atkinson et al. disclose a transceiver for operating in the GSM 850 and GSM 900 bands, and in the GSM 1800 and 1900 bands and in the UMTS band between 1.92 and 1.98 GHz as disclosed in col. 1 lines 34 - 42.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skills in the art at the time of invention to modify the first communication system as disclosed by the applicant's admitted prior art and Yrjölä et al. to be operated in the GSM

system and the second communication system as disclosed by the applicant's admitted prior art and Yrjölä et al. to be operated in the UMTS system. One is motivated as such in order to meet the emerging number of standards for wireless communications.

-Regarding claim 6, the combination further discloses the first signal route switching means **(as disclosed in applicant's admitted prior art, Fig. 1)**, comprises: a first receiver signal switching circuit connected to the antenna terminal and having a plurality of switch means **(applicant's admitted prior art, Fig. 1, switches 104 and 105)** for selecting receiver signals having the different frequencies including at least the second frequency and the third frequency in the first communication system **(applicant's admitted prior art, Fig. 1, the switch 105 separates the receiver signal F2RX of the frequency F2 and the receiver signal F3RX of the frequency F3)** and a first transmitter signal switching circuit connected to the antenna terminal **(as disclosed in applicant's admitted prior art, Fig. 1)** and having a switch means **(applicant's admitted prior art, Fig. 1, switch 104)** for selecting transmitter signals having the different frequencies including at least the second frequency and the third frequency in the first communication system **(applicant's admitted prior art, Fig. 1, switch 104 separates the transmitter signal F2 or F3TX of the frequency F2 or F3)** and a filter means connected to the switch means **(LPF 107 as disclosed in applicant's admitted prior art, Fig. 1)**.

-Regarding claim 10, the combination further discloses the second filter side terminal of the diplexer is connected to a front end of a UMTS use transmission and reception circuit (**as disclosed in Atkinson et al., Fig. 6**), and the front end has: a diplexer for switching the WBCDMA transmitter signal and WBCDMA receiver signal (**duplex filter as disclosed in Atkinson et al., Fig. 6**), a low noise amplifier circuit for amplifying the WBCDMA receiver signal input through this diplexer (**low noise amplifier for the WBCDMA RX_IN as disclosed in Atkinson et al., Fig. 6**), and a power amplifier circuit for amplifying the WBCDMA transmitter signal (**amplifier for WCDMA Tx as disclosed in Atkinson et al., Fig. 6**). Even though Atkinson et al. do not teach the WBCDMA is UMTS, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skills in the art at the time of invention to modify the WBCDMA system as disclosed in Fig. 6 to be UMTS system. One is motivated as such in order to meet the emerging number of standards for wireless communications.

-Regarding claims 11 and 12, the combination further discloses the second signal route switching means (**diplexer 102 and switch 103 as disclosed in applicant's admitted prior art, Fig. 1**) has a switch means (**switch 103 as disclosed in applicant's admitted prior art, Fig. 1**) connected to the first filter side terminal of the diplexer (**diplexer 102 divides the frequency domain into a frequency F1 having a low frequency and frequencies F2 and F3 having a high frequency as disclosed in applicant's admitted prior art, Fig. 1**) and selecting a transmitter signal having a further

different frequency of the first communication system and a switch means for selecting a receiver signal having the first frequency of the second communication system (**switch 103 separates a transmitter signal F1TX and a receiver signal F1RX of the frequency F1, Fig. 1).**

-Regarding claims 13 and 14, the combination further discloses a wireless transmitter and receiver antenna (**ANT as disclosed in applicant's admitted prior art, Fig. 1)** and a wireless signal switching circuit (**switches 103, 104 and 105 as disclosed in applicant's admitted prior art, Fig. 1).**

Allowable Subject Matter

7. Claim 4 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments filed 3/14/08 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

a. In page 9 of the remarks, regarding abstract, applicant states the abstract containing 143 words.

-The examiner has counted 238 words from the abstract in record. Therefore, the objection is not withdrawn.

b. In pages 10-12 of the remarks, regarding claim 1, applicant argues the claimed feature in amended claim 1 are neither disclosed nor suggested by AAPA in view of Yrjölä. Also, by contrast, in Yrjölä, such illustrated in FIG. 6, there are capacitors (53 and 57) in the path between the terminal (2) connected to the antenna (A) and the terminal (3), in addition to the two inductors. These capacitors may result in losses and attenuation that are avoided by connecting the inductor between the two terminals as claimed by applicant.

-The examiner respectfully disagrees. The combination indeed disclose an inductor (**Yrjölä, inductor 14, fig. 6**) being connected between the one end connected to the antenna terminal and the other end connected to the diplexer in the second routing means. In response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., these capacitors may result in losses and attenuation that are avoided by connecting the inductor between the two terminals) are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

Conclusion

9. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP

§ 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to PING Y. HSIEH whose telephone number is (571)270-3011. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday (alternate Fridays) 8:00am-5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lana Le can be reached on 571-272-7891. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/P. Y. H./
Examiner, Art Unit 2618

/Lana N. Le/
Acting SPE of Art Unit 2618